

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/561,606	02/12/2008	Don-Woo Youm	116066.00005	6451
7590 01/29/2010 Quarles Brady LLP			EXAMINER	
411 E Wisconsin Ave Milwaukee, WI 53202			WRIGHT, MADISON L	IADISON L
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3781	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/29/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/561,606 YOUM ET AL. Examiner Art Unit Madison L. Wright 3781 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Madion E. Wight	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(g), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed. If NO period for reply is specified above, the macrimum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with tyristatic, cause the negliciation to become MAINONED (38 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned pattern term adjustments. See 37 CFR 1.74(b).	
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 February 2008.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 February 2008 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 	
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	
Attackerson(a)	

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 - Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/12/2008.

- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.

 5) Notice of Informal Fatert Application.
- 6) Other: _____.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,606 Page 2

Art Unit: 3781

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Drawings

- 2. Figures 1 and 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the shape of said scores is circle must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,606 Page 3

Art Unit: 3781

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abevance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,606

Art Unit: 3781

- 6. Claim 1 recites the limitations "the top", "the inside", "the outside", and "the bottom" in lines 6, 8, 9, and 12 of claim 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.
- Claim 2 recites the limitation "the thickness" in the last line of claim 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- Claim 3 recites the limitation "the size" in the last line of claim 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- Claim 4 recites the limitation "the number" in the last line of claim 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 10. Claim 5 recites the limitation "the shape" in the last line of claim 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary sikl in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S.
 Patent No. 4,721,224 to Kawabata ("Kawabata") in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,918,610 to Willis ("Willis").
 - As to claim 1, Kawabata teaches a small sized and high-pressurized container for preventing explosion, comprising a can body (can body 2) for containing high-pressurized contents therein: an upper body (upper lid 3), which

Application/Control Number: 10/561,606

Art Unit: 3781

has a predetermined dome-like shape (Fig. 7), is connected to the top of said can body by seaming (col. 2, lines 46-48), and comprises a groove (engagement recess 8) around its lower part (Fig. 8); a plurality of scores (plurality of score lines 9) configured at the bottom of the groove of said dome-like upper body (col. 3, lines 45-48), in order to discharge the high-pressurized contents inside of said can body to the outside (col. 3, lines 53-55 and col. 4, lines 1-2); but does not teach a valve, which is crimped on the top of said upper body and extended from the inside of said can body to the outside of said upper body, in order to discharge the high-pressurized contents in said can body and inside of said upper body.

Willis teaches an aerosol container 10 that includes a body portion 12, dome member 14 sealed on the body by double seaming at 16, a mounting cup 18 secured in an aperture in the top of the dome, a valve body 22, a dip tube 24, a stem 26, and an actuator 28 (Willis, col. 3, lines 64-68 and col. 4, lines 1-14).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the valve mechanism of Willis to the container of Kawabata to provide a safety release vent for a container at minimum expense (Willis, col. 3, lines 17-19).

As to claim 2, Kawabata modified by Willis discloses the claimed invention except for characterized in that the thickness of said scores is from 0.03mm to 0.08mm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the thickness of the scores within the

Application/Control Number: 10/561,606

Art Unit: 3781

range, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

As to claim 3, Kawabata modified by Willis discloses the claimed invention except for characterized in that the size of said scores is from 0.1mm²-4.0mm². It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the size of the scores in the range, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

As to claim 4, Kawabata modified by Willis discloses the claimed invention except for characterized in that the number of said scores is from 4 to 20. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the number of scores between 4 and 20, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

As to claim 5, Kawabata modified by Willis teaches the small sized and high-pressurized container for preventing explosion according to claim 1, characterized in that the shape of said scores is circle or polygon (Fig. 5).

Application/Control Number: 10/561,606 Page 7

Art Unit: 3781

Conclusion

- 13. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.
- 14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - U.S. Patent No. 3,074,602 to Shillady et al. discloses a pressure relief device for pressure vessels.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Madison L. Wright whose telephone number is 571-270-7427. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:00 to 5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 3781

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on 571-272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Anthony Stashick/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3781

/M. L. W./ Examiner, Art Unit 3781